## No. 3/19(16)/2008-PP-I **Government** of India **Ministry of Minority Affairs**

11<sup>th</sup> Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan. C.G.O. Complex, Lodi Road. NewDelhi-110003, Dated: 18/09/2009

an er ar a

То

The Pay & Accounts Officer. Ministry of Minority Affairs, Parvavaran Bhavan. New Delhi

Subject: Grant in aid under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme of Multi sectoral Development Programme for minorities to Government of Uttar Pradesh for the year 2009-10 for Pilibhit District.

1

Sir.

I am directed to convey the sanction of the President for release of an amount of Rs. 17,19,96,000/- (Rupees seventeen crore nineteen lakh and ninety six thousand only)as 1<sup>st</sup> instalment (50% of total central share) of the total sanctioned amount of Rs. 34,39,91,000/- (Rupees thirty four crore thirty nine lakh and ninety one thousand only) for the year 2009-10 to the Govt. of Uttar Pradesh for implementing the scheme "Multi Sectoral Development Programme for minorities" for Pilibhit district as per the details enclosed at Annexure. The non-recurring grant may be released to the Govt. of Uttar Pradesh through CAS, Reserve Bank of India, Nagpur.

2. The expenditure is debitable to Demand No.66, Ministry of Minority Affairs Major Head- "3601" Grant-in-aid to State Government, 04- Grants for Central Plan Scheme (Sub Major Head), 378 -General-(Welfare of Schedule Casts/Schedule Tribes and Other Backward Classes) -other grants, 04 - Multi sectoral Development Programme for minorities, 04.00.31 - Grant-in-aid for the year 2009-10.

3. Utilization Certificate for this grant should be submitted by the grantee in the prescribed format within 12 months of the date of the Sanction Order.

4. The State Government should ensure that a board containing information of the date of sanction of the project, likely date of completion, estimated cost of the project, source of funding i.e. MsDP (Government of India), contractor(s) name and the physical target is displayed. After completion of projects, a permanent display would be installed.

This sanction issues with concurrence of IFD vide their Dy. No. 453/AS & FA (MA) 5. dated 17.09.2009. It is noted at S.No. 26 in the Grant-in-aid Register.

Yours faithfully,

(A.K. Srivastava) Under Secretary to the Government of India

Copy to:-

- 1. The Accountant General, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Pilibhit
- 2. Manager Reserve Bank of India, Central Account Section, Nagpur-440001.
- 3. Secretary, Department of Minority Welfare, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh vide their letter no. take ALTER AT A AND ALCONTRACTOR 231/52-1-20091(146)/09 dated 15.09.2009.
- 4. Secretary, Finance Department, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Pilibhit
- 5. Director General of Audit, Central Revenues, AGCR Building, New Delhi-2.
- 6. Sanction folder.
- MoMA-NIC Computer Cell (Shri Dinesh Chandra, Technical Director) for website updation. 7.

(A.K. Srivastava) Under Secretary to the Government of India

and and the transformer of the second se

SI no	and on the project tot	Shari ng ratio	No. of units	Unit cost	Central share	State share	Total cost	l <sup>st</sup> instalment amount to be released
A	dministrative Approval			Rupee in lakh				
1	Construction of IAY houses	75:25	8000	0.35	2100.00	700.00	2800.00	1050.00
2	Construction of PHSCs	85:15	47	6.90	275.66	48.64	324.30	1050.00
3	Construction of anganwadi centres	100:0 0	315	2.95	929.25	-	929.25	464.63
4	Construction of ACRs in high schools and inter colleges	75:25	40	4.50	135.00	45.00	180.00	67.50
	Total				3439.91	793.64	4233.55	1719.96

## (a) Construction of IAY houses:

- (i) The approval was given on the assurance that the State Government would ensure that the IAY houses would be constructed in villages with the highest minority concentration, BPL families would be selected from the approved waiting list, even if they belonged to communities other than the minority communities, strictly in order of their ranking in the list as per the IAY guidelines.
- (ii) It was stressed that no deviation from the guidelines was permitted.
- (iii) The list of the villages having the highest proportion of minority population, indicating the number of houses to be constructed would be provided. State share would be provided.
- (iv) To prevent duplication, the Government of UP would ensure that the IAY units funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme and information also sent to the Ministry concerned.
- (b) Construction of Primary Health sub Centres (PHSCs):
- (i) The State Government in consultation with the NRHM State Mission Director would ensure that the centres, located in areas having the highest proportion of minority population, were selected.
- (ii) NRHM construction norms, design, specification and standards would be followed and the State share would be provided.
- (iii) The list of the centres with location and percentage of minority population would be furnished.
  - (iv) To prevent duplication, the Government of Uttar Pradesh should ensure that the centres funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of NRHM.

## (c) Construction of anganwadi centres:

- (i) The centres would be constructed as per the design, specification and norms of the M/o Women and Child Development and centres would have a kitchen, store room, toilet and playing space and in addition a crèche/day care centre.
- (ii) The centres located in villages which have the highest proportion of minority population would be selected.
- (iii)To prevent duplication and maintenance of a data base the State Govt. should send the list of anganwadi centres constructed with MsDP funds to the Ministry of Women & Child Development.
- (iv)The social welfare department in the State should be involved in all stages of implementation.
- (d) Construction of Additional Class Rooms (ACRs) in high schools and inter colleges:
- (i) The State Government would ensure that the schools would be constructed in villages having the highest proportion of minority population. A list of villages would be provided.
  (ii) It would also
- (ii) It would also ensure that the cost for the construction of ACRs was approved by the State PWD.
- (iii) To prevent duplication, the Government of U.P. should ensure that the ACRs funded under MsDP were reflected in the State Action Plan of the scheme concerned and information also sent to the Ministry of Human Resource Development.